

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/586,981	06/05/2000	Jeffrey M. MacDonald	113918.201	8826
27160	7590 04/11/2003			
	DMINSTRATOR		EXAMI	NER
525 WEST M	ICHIN ZAVIS ROSENMA ONROE STREET	N	DEAK, LE	ESLIE R
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, II	IL 60661-3693		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
=======================================			3762 DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠	.,			P.R.		
. 1	-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•			09/586,981	MACDONALD ET AL.		
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
			Leslie R. Deak	3762		
Per		The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
-	A SHOTHE I Exter after If the If NO Failu Any rearne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	lanuary 2003 .			
	-,/ a)[_	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.			
	3)	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	nce except for formal matters, p			
Dis	positi	ion of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-48 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	-	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.				
		Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
		Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or eion Papers	election requirement.			
	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
1	0)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2000</u> is/are: a)	□ accepted or b) □ objected to by	the Examiner.		
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
1	1)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
1	2)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Pric	ority ı	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
1	3)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
		1. Certified copies of the priority document				
		2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
1	4)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
1		a) \square The translation of the foreign language proAcknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				
Atta	chmen	at(s)				
2) [Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
C Da	ent and T	Frademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/586,981

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-29 in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8-13, 18, 21, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,015,585 to Robinson. Robinson discloses a bioreactor with a housing 14, nutrient inlets 11 and 21, nutrient outlets 12 and 22 that allow nutrient solutions to pass therethrough, an array of coaxial semipermeable fibers 34, with compartments defined within the innermost fiber (20), between the innermost fiber and the outermost fiber (30), and outside the outermost fiber (10). See FIGS 1, 2, column 2, lines 55-65, column 3, and column 6, lines 15-25. The nutrient solutions serve as an extracellular matrix by providing nutrients required for cell homeostasis, and may contain oxygen (see column 2, lines 57-63). The nutrient solution passes through the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/586,981

Art Unit: 3762

porous hollow fibers allowing the nutrients to mix with murine hybridoma cells (which are eukaryotic cells) in section 30. Robinson further discloses that the fibers may be made of polysulfone and other polymers and the space between the fibers is 0.2 millimeters (column 4, lines 60-65, column 3, lines 50-55). The outermost chamber 10 is fed by its own inlet port 11 and outlet port 12 (column 3, lines 60-63). Cell chamber 30 is fed by a cell inlet port 31 and cell outlet port 32 (column 6, lines 16-25). The innermost chamber 20, within the intracapillary hollow fiber 24, is fed by nutrient inlet 21 and outlet 22 (column 3, lines 30-40). Potting compounds 13 and 33 and module shell 14 separate and isolate and separate the flow entering through different ports, separating that fluid from the remaining volume of the bioreactor and distributing it to the proper area of the bioreactor (column 3, lines 38-44, 56-61, 64-67). The bioreactor illustrated in FIG 1 has a hollow tube 17cm long and a pore size of 0.2 microns, falling within the range claimed by applicant.

With regard to applicant's claim drawn to the method of sterilization of the bioreactor, such a limitation amounts to a recitation of the intended use of the device, and does not patentably distinguish from the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/586,981

Art Unit: 3762

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 5-7, 14, 19-20, 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,015,585 to Robinson. Robinson discloses the apparatus as claimed with the exception of using liver cells, the number of cells in the bioreactor, and the pore size of the hollow fibers. Robinson does, however, disclose that the bioreactor is designed for use in growing human cells, of which liver cells are an obvious subset (see column 4, lines 14-23). Therefore, since Robinson discloses the use of human cells in his bioreactor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use liver cells, since liver cells are a type of human cell.

 Furthermore, Robinson discloses that his bioreactor comprises 5-6 x 10⁸ cells, and a pore size of 0.2 microns, further disclosing that particular parameters of the bioreactor may be modified depending on the desired cell culture operation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to vary the number of cells used in the bioreactor and to vary the pore size of the hollow fibers, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art,

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/586,981

Art Unit: 3762

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.05.

With regard to applicant's claim that the inlets and outlets of the bioreactor are located on a first end of the housing, such a recitation amounts to a rearrangement of parts of the device. Mere rearrangement of the working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.04.

With regard to applicant's claim drawn to a bioreactor with two subunits, the second subunit is a mere duplication of the previously claimed bioreactor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add a second bioreactor unit to the previously disclosed apparatus since it has been haled that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.04.

7. Claims 15, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,015,585 to Robinson in view of US 6,218,182 to Naughton et al. Robinson discloses the apparatus as claimed but does not disclose the method of treating a patient. Naughton discloses the process of passing plasma passing patient plasma through the bioreactor and returning it to the patient wherein the plasma is modified in the bioreactor (see column 7, lines 1-10). The method is used such that the artificial liver cells in the bioreactor perform filtering functions on the patient plasma, acting as an artificial liver. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the hollow fiber bioreactor disclosed by Robinson in the plasma treatment method disclosed by Naughton in order to treat patient plasma with

Application/Control Number: 09/586,981

Art Unit: 3762

cells grown in the bioreactor. Similarly, it would have been obvious to pass the patient plasma through 2 subunits of a bioreactor since mere duplication of the steps of the method would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention. See MPEP 2144.04.

With regard to applicant's claim drawn to a microfiber, Naughton discloses a bioreactor that may comprise nylon fibers with a diameter of 90 micrometers in order to provide a biodegradable mesh for cell implantation in the patient's body (see column 8, lines 29-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add microfibers to the hollow-fiber bioreactor disclosed by Robinson in order to allow for implantation of the grown cells into the patient.

8. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,015,585 to Robinson in view of US 6,218,182 to Naughton et al in view of US 5,510,262 to Stephanopoulis et al. Robinson and Naughton disclose the apparatus as claimed with the exception of aeration and perfluorocarbon coating on the microfiber growth area within the bioreactor. Stephanopoulis discloses a hollow fiber cell culture device that uses a growth medium 30 in a medium reservoir 32. The medium is aerated to increase oxygen content and promote growth (see column 8, lines 20-37). Similarly, the medium can be treated with perfluorocarbon in order to increase the oxygen solubility in the growth medium, improving cell growth (see column 9, lines 20-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add aeration and a perfluorocarbon treatment to a portion of the cell

Application/Control Number: 09/586,981

Art Unit: 3762

incubation area of the bioreactor disclosed by Robinson and Naughton in order to

increase oxygen solubility and cell growth, as taught by Stephanopoulis.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

a. WO 00/75275 A2

MacDonald et al

. International publication of applicant's invention

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-

0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590

for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0873.

April 4, 2003

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700